Summary

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is used in the beverage industry for carbonation, packaging, and dispense. In soft drinks production the carbonation of the beverage is undertaken by forcing carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the liquid and storing under pressure. The presence of this gas creates bubbles and fizzing in the liquid when pressure is reduced. The CO₂ that is injected into the beverage must be free of particles, microorganisms and unwanted chemical compounds. Existence of these contaminants may result in a Quality Incident. Such an incident may occur where a delivery of out-of-specification CO₂ has been made to the plant or where CO₂ has been contaminated on-site during the production process.

In recent years, there has been an increased awareness of the importance of CO₂ quality and its effects on beverage products. Bodies such as the International Society of Beverage Technologists (ISBT) publish quality guidelines for the CO₂ used in the beverage industry. These guidelines are considered best practice requirements intended to offer protection from contaminants that could result in flavour defects in the beverage or in severe cases damage health.

Protection against poor quality or contaminated gas which may pass through the supply chain and into the beverage is essential. Despite purchasing beverage-grade carbon dioxide and analysing the gas delivered, without a quality protection device in place there is no guarantee that trace contamination can be prevented from entering the bottle filling process.

Carbon Towers

In the majority of beverage bottling plants reputation is placed in the performance of an in-line activated carbon filter vessel. This vessel (or tower) has been a permanent fixture within the production process and quality assurance procedures of many bottling plants for at least the last 25 years. The efficiency of this method of protection is questionable with regards to the total protection against a quality incident.

Activated carbon is a proven adsorbent for hydrocarbons. It therefore covers a range of potential contaminants that may be present within a CO₂ supply.

Activated carbon is however limited with regards to the removal of sulphur compounds such as Hydrogen Sulphides, Sulfur dioxide and Carbonyl sulfide. ISBT CO₂ Quality Guidelines & Analytical Procedure Bibliography, 2010 detail critical limits for sulphur contaminant.
The Parker multi-adsorbent approach

In comparison with existing activated carbon technology, the Parker domnick hunter PCO2 system offers considerably improved protection by way of its unique multi adsorbent construction.

STAGE 1 FILTRATION

STAGE 2 DRYFILL ADSORBER
Effective at removing:
Water (H2O), Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Acetaldehyde, Dimethyl Ether (DME)

STAGE 3 DRYFILL ADSORBER
Effective at removing:
Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Cyclohexane, Acetaldehyde, Ethyl Acetae, Styrene, MIBK, Ethanol, Methanol

STAGE 4 DRYFILL ADSORBER
Effective at removing:
Carbonyl Sulphide (COS), Hydrogen Sulphide (H2S), Sulphur Dioxide (SO2), Dimethyl Sulphide (DMS)

STAGE 5 FILTRATION

For additional product information, FAQs, white papers and support documents relating to Parker PCO2 Carbon Dioxide Quality Incident Protection Systems please visit www.parker.com/gsfe

The Parker ‘ADVANTAGE’

A multi adsorbent system has increased retention ability over an activated carbon bed as the carbon will preferentially adsorb heavier hydrocarbons over the more weakly attracted adsorbents. The Parker multi layered approach means that several types of molecules can adsorb simultaneously on the surfaces, with less competition for active sites than found in activated carbon beds. Although highly polar molecules will displace less polar molecules, from the molecular sieve layer (causing the less polar ones to emerge first) as CO2 is the least polar of the molecules in this application the ‘contaminates’ (VOCs, Water etc.) are much more strongly attracted and hence retained within the media bed, so clean CO2 passes through.

By using the ‘Snowstorm’ filling technique, Parker ensure that the unique media recipe is optimum packed as to ensure maximum density and the best efficiency of the removal of potential contaminants.

Conclusion

CO2 usage is subject to stringent active guidelines as to ensure the quality of gas to safe limits for contaminants and impurities. The Parker domnick hunter range of multi adsorbent PCO2 systems will ensure that CO2 gas meets all active compliance requirements, and exceeds these requirements by a factor of ten in named contaminants and impurities.

It has been independently proven that the multistage purifier effectively removes contaminants specified by ISBT quality guidelines to trace levels. Additionally, the PCO2 system will offer protection against a wider range of contamination than traditional activated carbon adsorption.